arXiv:1402.5816v1 [math.MG] 24 Feb 2014

Large scale Sobolev inequalities and amenability on metric measure spaces of bounded complexity

Juhani Koivisto [1]
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki, Finland, email: juhani.koivisto@helsinki.fi

Abstract. We provide an obstruction to large scale Sobolev inequalities on locally Ahlfors regular quasi-convex spaces satisfying a $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality through vanishing of the fundamental class in the associated controlled coarse homology. As a corollary, we get a new characterisation of amenability on such spaces.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 20E99, 30L99

Key words: Controlled coarse homology, Sobolev inequality, amenability

1 Introduction

Coarsening of cohomology and homology was introduced by Roe in [10], and has proved to be a very successful approach to a vide range of problems in geometry and topology. In [1] Attie, Block and Weinberger introduced uniformly finite homology, considering coarse chains with uniformly bounded coefficients, allowing for a characterization of amenability on coarse bounded geometry spaces. For more details and later developments we refer to [2] and [11]. Based on the ideas of growth homology in [2], Nowak and Špakula further generalised the approach of Attie, Block and Weinberger, considering coarse chains whose growth type is bounded by a control function, called controlled coarse homology [9]. For a constant control function this homology theory agrees with the uniformly finite homology, but for more general control functions the homology provides a characterisation of isoperimetric inequalities for spaces of coarse bounded geometry. In particular, they proved the following

Theorem. *[9] Let (X,d) be a quasi-geodesic metric space of bounded geometry. Then, $[X]=0$ in $H_0^\rho(X)$ if and only if*

$$\#A \leq \sum_{x\in\partial A} \rho(|x|),$$

for all finite sets $A \subseteq X$.

Earlier Żuk had proved that any infinite finitely generated group satisfies the above isoperimetric inequality whenever the control function ρ is the identity [12]. In their work, Nowak and Špakula showed that this is also the case whenever the control is linear. They also showed that their controlled coarse homology provides an obstruction to Poincaré inequalities of the type studied in [8] on the universal cover of a compact manifold. The purpose of this paper is to provide a connection between controlled coarse homology and so-called

[1] Supported by the Academy of Finland, project 252293.

large scale Sobolev inequalities, considering spaces of coarse bound geometry that are locally Ahlfors regular and satisfy a $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality due to Henonen and Koskela [7] saying that there exist constants $C_P > 0$ and $\Lambda_P \geq 1$ such that for every continuous and bounded function, $f \in C_b(X, \mathbb{R})$, and every upper gradient g of f

$$\fint_{B(x,r)} |f - f_{B(x,r)}| d\mu \leq C_P r \fint_{\Lambda_P B(x,r)} g^p d\mu,$$

whenever $r \leq R_P$. In particular, we prove the following characterisation theorem

Theorem. *Let (X, d, μ) be a locally Ahlfors regular quasi-convex pointed metric measure space satisfying a $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality and $\rho\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ a measurable control function. Then, the following are equivalent:*

(A) there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_X |u(x)| d\mu(x) \leq C \int_X |\nabla u(x)| \rho(|x|_d) d\mu(x),$$

holds for every $u \in C_b(X, \mathbb{R})$ with bounded support,

(B) $[X] = 0$ in $H_0^\rho(X)$.

Dropping the $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality assumption it still holds that $(A) \implies (B)$.

Above, $[X]$ denotes the fundamental class in the 0-controlled coarse homology group of X, $|\nabla u|$ the least upper gradient of u, and $|x|_d = d(x, o)$ the distance to the basepoint, changing which only re-scales the constant C. As the controlled coarse homology is a quasi-isometry invariant, we call inequalities of type (A) above large scale. As a direct corollary, we get the following characterisation for amenability

Corollary. *A locally Ahlfors regular quasi-convex metric measure space (X, d, μ) satisfying a $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality is amenable if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a function $u \in C_b(X; \mathbb{R})$ with bounded support such that*

$$\frac{\int_X |\nabla u(x)| d\mu(x)}{\int_X |u(x)| d\mu(x)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

For example, consider the real line with Lebesgue measure. Let $\eta\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be any smooth positive function whose support is in $[-1, 1]$. As $r > 0$ tends to zero, the family of maps $\eta_r\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given pointwise by $\eta_r(x) = \eta(rx)$ show that $\mathbb{R}$ is amenable. We also obtain the following condition saying that whenever there exists a map on the quasi-lattice of pointwise bounded Dirichlet energy with unifomly bounded weighted Laplacian Δ_ρ from below, large scale Sobolev inequalities as in the main theorem occur

Corollary. *Let (X, d, μ) be a locally Ahlfors regular quasi-convex pointed metric measure space satisfying a $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality, and $\rho\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ a*

measurable control function. If there exists a function $f\colon N(X,\varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that*

$$\sup_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q\sim p} |f(p) - f(q)| < \infty,$$
$$\Delta_\rho f > C > 0,$$

then,

$$\int_X |u(x)| d\mu(x) \leq C \int_X |\nabla u(x)| \rho(|x|_d) d\mu(x),$$

holds for every $u \in C_b(X;\mathbb{R})$ *with bounded support.*

Here $N(X,\varepsilon)$ denotes a quasi-lattice in X, which always exist under the assumptions above for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, and $q \sim p$ whenever $0 < d(q,p) \leq 3\varepsilon$. For details we refer to what follows.

2 Preliminaries

Positive constants in estimates that are not being tracked are denoted by capitals $C, D, \ldots$ etc., constants related to some specific inequality are denoted by $C_1, C_2, C_1' \ldots$ etc., whereas constants related to a property of the metric, measure, etc. are denoted by $C_d, C_\mu, \ldots$ etc., respectively.

Metric Spaces

Let (X,d), for short X, denote a metric space. Allowing for the metric to take the value ∞, we say that X is a generalised metric space. An open ball of radius $r > 0$ centred at $x \in X$ will be denoted by $B(x,r) = \{y \in X\colon d(x,y) < r\}$, and as usual let $\overline{B}(x,r) = \{y \in X\colon d(x,y) \leq r\}$ and $\lambda B(x,r) = B(x,\lambda r)$, where $\lambda > 0$. When necessary, we write $B_X(x,r)$ to indicate that $B(x,r) \subseteq X$. For $S \subseteq X$, unless otherwise stated, we consider S as a metric space with induced metric $d|_S$, for short d where there is no risk of confusion. If there exists a $C > 0$ such that $d(x,y) \geq C$ whenever $x, y \in S$ are distinct points, we say that S is (effectively) discrete. We denote by $\#S$ the number of elements in S, by

$$\partial_R S = \{x \in X \setminus S\colon d(x,S) \leq R\},$$

its R-boundary, and by

$$N_R S = \{y \in X\colon d(x,y) < R,\, x \in S\}.$$

its open R-neighbourhood. If an open R-neighbourhood of S is X, we say that S is coarsely dense in X. As usual, we denote by $C(X;\mathbb{R})$ the space of continuous functions from X into $\mathbb{R}$, by $C_b(X;\mathbb{R})$ the space of continuous and bounded functions, and call a continuous map $c\colon I \to X$ from a compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ into X a path. For a path c issuing from x and terminating at y, we write $c\colon x \curvearrowright y$, and call its image a segment in X. If its length $l(c)$ with respect to d is finite, we say that it is d-rectifiable, for short rectifiable. Last we fix some terminology related to bounded metric complexity: a subspace $S \subseteq X$ is said to be uniformly locally finite if for every $r > 0$ there exists a number N_r such that $\#S \cap B(x,r) \leq N_r$ for every $B(x,r)$. X is called (metrically) locally doubling if

there exist an N_d and $R_d > 0$ such that every ball $B(x, r)$ of radius $r \leq R_d$ can be covered by N_d balls of radius $s \leq r/2$. X is said to be C_q-quasi-convex, for short quasi-convex, if there exists a $C_q \geq 1$ such that for every $x, y \in X$ there exists a rectifiable path $c\colon x \curvearrowright y$ for which $l(c) \leq C_q\, d(x, y)$.

Lipschitz Partitions of Unity Associated to Nets

A maximal ε-net $N(X, \varepsilon) \subseteq X$, $\varepsilon > 0$, is a subset of X for which $d(x, y) \geq \varepsilon$ whenever $x, y \in N(X, \varepsilon)$ are two distinct points and

$$X = \bigcup_{x \in N(X,\varepsilon)} B(x, \varepsilon).$$

By Zorn's lemma, maximal ε-nets exists for every ε. Following the terminology in [2] we say that a uniformly locally finite maximal ε-net $N(X, \varepsilon)$ is a quasi-lattice in X, and if X has a quasi-lattice that X is of coarse bounded geometry. We also recall the following definition of Lipschitz partitions of unity from [6]

Definition 1. *Let X be a metric space. A Lipschitz partition of unity of X associated with $N(X, \varepsilon)$ is a locally finite family of L-Lipschitz functions $\varphi_p\colon X \to [0, 1]$ such that*

$$\sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \varphi_p(x) = 1,$$

for every $x \in X$, and

$$\operatorname{supp} \varphi_p \subset 2B(p, \varepsilon).$$

It is well known that if X has bounded metric complexity in a suitable sense, such a partition always exists. To fix notation, we sketch a version essentially from [6] assuming a locally doubling metric

Lemma 2. *[6] Let (X, d) be a locally doubling metric space. Then, for $0 < \varepsilon$ small enough, there exists a Lipschitz partition of unity of X associated with $N(X, \varepsilon)$.*

Proof. For each $p \in N(X, \varepsilon)$ define a family of Lipschitz bump-functions $\psi_p\colon X \to [0, 1]$ pointwise by

$$\psi_p(x) = \min\{1, f(x)\},$$

where

$$f(x) = \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{dist}\left(x, X \setminus \frac{3}{2} B\left(p, \varepsilon\right)\right),$$

and note that ψ_p is $2/\varepsilon$-Lipschitz for small enough ε since the distance function is 1-Lipschitz by the triangle inequality. Moreover,

$$\psi_p|_{B(p,\varepsilon)} = 1,$$

$$\psi_p|_{X \setminus \frac{3}{2} B(p,\varepsilon)} = 0.$$

Next, define $\psi\colon X \to [1, \infty)$ pointwise by

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \psi_p(x).$$

Note that since any $x \in X$ belongs to some $B(p, \varepsilon)$, it follows that $\psi(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in X$. Also, ψ is $2N_d^3/\varepsilon$-Lipschitz since x is contained in at most N_d^k balls $B(p, \varepsilon)$ for some k by the doubling property, c.f. Lemma 6 below. In particular, $\psi(x) \leq N_d^k$. Now, the family of maps $\varphi_p \colon X \to [0, 1]$ defined pointwise by

$$\varphi_p(x) = \frac{\psi_p(x)}{\psi(x)},$$

gives a $5N_d^k/\varepsilon$-Lipschitz partition of unity associated to $N(X, \varepsilon)$. To see this, note that $0 \leq \varphi_p(x) \leq 1$, $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_p \subset 2B(p, \varepsilon)$, and

$$\sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \varphi_p(x) = 1.$$

Thus, it only remains to show that they are Lipschitz, which is a straightforward calculation. We will always refer to this particular partition for concreteness. □

Given a Lipschitz partition of unity associated with a net, we have a local Lipschitz extensions as follows

Definition 3. *Let (X, d) be a locally doubling metric space, and let $v \colon N(X, \varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}$. A local Lipschitz extension $\bar{v} \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ of v associated to $N(X, \varepsilon)$ is defined pointwise by*

$$\overline{v}(x) = \sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} v(p)\varphi_p(x),$$

for a Lipschitz partition of unity $\{\varphi_p\}_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)}$ associated to $N(X, \varepsilon)$.

For $v \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$, let $\operatorname{Lip} v \colon X \to [0, \infty]$ denote the upper Lipschitz constant of f defined pointwise as

$$\operatorname{Lip} v(x) = \limsup_{r \to 0} \left(\sup_{y \in B(x,r)} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{r} \right).$$

Metric Measure Spaces

By a metric measure space (X, d, μ), for short X, we mean a metric space (X, d) with a Borel regular measure μ, c.f [5]. If $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a locally integrable function and A a measurable set, we denote its integral average over A by

$$f_A = \fint_A f d\mu = \frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_A f d\mu,$$

whenever defined. A non-negative Borel function $g \colon X \to [0, \infty)$ is an upper gradient for $f \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ if for all rectifiable paths $c \colon [0, L] \to X$ parametrized by arclength

$$|f(c(L)) - f(c(0))| \leq \int_0^L g(c(s))ds.$$

The least upper gradient of f is denoted by $|\nabla f|$. Following Heinonen and Koskela [7], we say that (X, d, μ) satisfies a $(1, p)$-Poincaré inequality if for

some $R_P, C_P > 0$ and $\Lambda_P \geq 1$, we have for every $f \in C_b(X, \mathbb{R})$ and every upper gradient g for f

$$\fint_{B(x,r)} |f - f_{B(x,r)}| d\mu \leq C_P r \left(\fint_{\Lambda_P B(x,r)} g^p d\mu \right)^{1/p},$$

whenever $r \leq R_P$. Recall also the following complexity properties ranging from weaker to stronger: A metric measure space X is locally doubling, equivalently, μ satisfies a doubling condition, if there exist $C_\mu \geq 1$ and $R_\mu > 0$ such that whenever $r \leq R_\mu$

$$0 < \mu(2B(x,r)) \leq C_\mu \mu(B(x,r))$$

for all $B(x,r) \subseteq X$. A metric measure space X is locally Ahlfors Q_A-regular, for short locally Ahlfors regular, if there exist $Q_A, C_A \geq 1$ and $R_A > 0$ such that whenever $r \leq R_A$

$$\frac{r^{Q_A}}{C_A} \leq \mu(B(x,r)) \leq C_A r^{Q_A}$$

for all $B(x,r) \subseteq X$. Recall the standard fact

Lemma 4. *Let (X, d, μ) be a metric measure space. If X is locally Ahlfors regular, then μ is locally doubling, and hence d is locally doubling.* □

Graph Structures

By a (simplicial) graph $\mathcal{G}$ we mean a pair (V, E) consisting of a set V whose elements are called vertices, and a set $E \subseteq \mathcal{P}(V)$ whose elements are two point sets, called edges. We do not allow monogons and bigons, and edges are unoriented. If $e = [x, y] \in E$, we write $x \sim y$ and call y a neighbour of x, and vice versa. $\mathcal{G}$ is said to have uniformly bounded valence if there exists an integer m such that every vertex has at most m neighbours. A graph structure $\mathcal{G}$ on a metric space (X, d) is a graph $\mathcal{G} = (X, E)$ with edges separately specified.

Definition 5. *Let (X, d) be a metric space with graph structure $\mathcal{G}$. The graph metric $d_\mathcal{G} \colon X \times X \to [0, \infty]$ on X is defined by setting*

(a) $d_\mathcal{G}(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;

(b) $0 < d_\mathcal{G}(x, y) \leq n$ if and only if $x \neq y$ and there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a sequence of points $x = x_0, \ldots, x_n = y$ such that $x_i \sim x_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i < n$;

(c) $d_\mathcal{G}(x, y) = k$ if $d_\mathcal{G}(x, y) \leq k$ and $d_\mathcal{G}(x, y) \leq k - 1$ is false;

(d) $d_\mathcal{G}(x, y) = \infty$ otherwise.

A graph structure $\mathcal{R}$ on X with edges specified by the condition $x \sim y$ if and only if $0 < d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$ together with its corresponding graph metric $d_\mathcal{R}$, is called a Rips graph on X, denoted by $\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon(X)$.

Recall that by a quasi-isometry between metric spaces X and Y we mean a map $f \colon X \to Y$ such that

(a) there exist $L, C > 0$ such that

$$L^{-1}d(x,y) - C \leq d(f(x), f(y)) \leq Ld(x,y) + C$$

for all $x, y \in X$, and

(b) the image $f(X)$ is coarsely dense in Y.

The Rips graph approximates a metric space in the following well known sense [3], which we recall here in the above terminology

Lemma 6. *Let (X,d) be a quasi-convex metric space. Then,*

1. *$R_{3\varepsilon}(N(X,\varepsilon))$ is a metric space and*

$$(X,d),\ (N(X,\varepsilon), d|_{N(X,\varepsilon)}),\ \text{and}\ R_{3\varepsilon}(N(X,\varepsilon)),$$

are quasi-isometric for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

2. *if X is locally doubling and $0 < \varepsilon \leq R_d/3$, then $N(X,\varepsilon) \subseteq X$ is a quasi-lattice and $\mathcal{R}_{3\varepsilon}(N(X,\varepsilon))$ has uniformly bounded valence.*

Proof. To prove the first claim, observe that as maps between metric spaces

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{id}\colon (N(X,\varepsilon), d_{\mathcal{R}}) \to \mathcal{R}_{3\varepsilon}(N(X,\varepsilon)) \\ &\iota\colon (N(X,\varepsilon), d) \hookrightarrow (X,d), \end{aligned}$$

are quasi-isometries, and hence it suffices for the first part of the claim to show that

$$\mathrm{id}\colon (N(X,\varepsilon), d) \to (N(X,\varepsilon), d_{\mathcal{R}}),$$

is a quasi-isometry. To this end, let $x, y \in N(X,\varepsilon)$. Recall that by a t-tail between points $x, y \in X$ we mean a finite sequence of points $(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ in X such that $x_0 = x$, $x_n = y$ and $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq t$ for all $0 \leq i < n-1$. Now, since X is quasi-convex, there exists a rectifiable path $c\colon x \curvearrowright y$. Let n be so large that $\varepsilon(n-1) < \ell(c) \leq \varepsilon n$. Now there exists points $x = x_0, \ldots, x_n = y$ on the segment of c such that $d(x_i, x_{i-1}) \leq \varepsilon$. In other words, (x_i) is an ε-tail from x to y. For each x_i, $0 < i < n$, in the tail, choose a $p_i \in N(X,\varepsilon)$ such that $d(x_i, p_i) \leq \varepsilon$. By the triangle inequality

$$d(p_i, p_{i-1}) \leq d(p_i, x_i) + d(x_i, x_{i-1}) + d(x_{i-1}, p_{i-1}) \leq 3\varepsilon.$$

Hence, (p_i) is a 3ε-tail from x to y in $N(X,\varepsilon)$ and

$$d_{\mathcal{R}}(x,y) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{\mathcal{R}}(p_i, p_{i-1}) = n < \frac{\ell(\gamma)}{\varepsilon} + 1 \leq \frac{C_q d(x,y)}{\varepsilon} + 1.$$

In particular, the graph metric $d_{\mathcal{R}}$ is a metric and so for any $x, y \in N(X,\varepsilon)$, there exists an integer n such that $d_{\mathcal{R}}(x,y) = n$. In other words, there exists a 3ε-tail (x_i) from x to y and by the triangle inequality we have that

$$d(x,y) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(x_i, x_{i-1}) \leq 3\varepsilon n = 3\varepsilon d_{\mathcal{R}}(x,y).$$

From this it readily follows that $\mathrm{id}\colon (N(X,\varepsilon),d) \to (N(X,\varepsilon),d_{\mathcal{R}})$, is a quasi-isometry. To prove the second claim, if suffices to show that for $\varepsilon \leq R_d/3$ there exists a constant C such that for any vertex x of $\mathcal{R}_{3\varepsilon}(N(X,\varepsilon))$

$$\#B_{N(X,\varepsilon)}(x,3\varepsilon) \leq C,$$

for then x has at most C neighbours. However, since $3\varepsilon \leq R_d$, $B(x,3\varepsilon)$ can be covered by N_d balls of radius $R_d/2$, that again are doubling. Repeating this k times until $2R_d/2^k < \varepsilon$ at which point $B(x,3\varepsilon)$ is covered by N_d^k balls of diameter less than ε and so contain at most one point from $N(x,\varepsilon)$. In other words, $\#B_{N(X,\varepsilon)}(x,3\varepsilon) \leq N_d^k$, and it follows that the Rips graph has uniformly bounded valence. To see that $N(X,\varepsilon)$ is a quasi-lattice, observe by induction that for any integer $n \geq 3$

$$\#B_{N(X,\varepsilon)}(x,n\varepsilon) \leq N_d^{k(n-2)}.$$

□

Controlled Coarse Homology

Let (X,d,o), for short X, be a pointed metric space with basepoint $o \in X$, and write X^{n+1} for the $(n+1)$-fold Cartesian product with metric

$$d(\overline{x},\overline{y}) = \max_{0\leq i\leq n} d(x_i,y_i),$$

where $\overline{x} = [x_0,\ldots,x_n]$, $\overline{y} = [y_0,\ldots,y_n] \in X^{n+1}$, identifying an ordered n-simplex $[x_0,\ldots,x_n]$ with the corresponding point $(x_0,\ldots,x_n)$ in X^{n+1}. Define the length of $\overline{x}$ as the max norm $|\overline{x}|_d = d(\overline{o},\overline{x})$ where $\overline{o} = [o,\ldots,o]$, and emphasizing the metric with respect to which it is taken. For a general reference on what follows, see [9] and references within.

Definition 7. *A control function $\rho\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is a non-decreasing function such that $\rho(0) = 1$ and for every $K, M > 0$, there exists constants $L_K, L_M > 0$ for which*

$$\rho(t+K) \leq L_K\rho(t);$$
$$\rho(tM) \leq L_M\rho(t),$$

for every $t > 0$.

Definition 8. *Let (X,d) be a pointed quasi-lattice. The controlled coarse n-chain group $C_n^\rho(X)$ is the vector space of formal infinite sums*

$$c = \sum_{\overline{x}\in X^{n+1}} c_{\overline{x}}\overline{x}$$

where $c_{\overline{x}} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\overline{x} = [x_0,\ldots,x_n]$ such that

(a) $|c_{\overline{x}}| \leq K_c\rho(|\overline{x}|_d)$ for some constant $K_c \in \mathbb{R}$ depending on c;

(b) $c(\overline{x}) = (-1)^{N(\sigma)}c(\sigma(\overline{x}))$ where σ is a permutation of the $(n+1)$-tuple $\overline{x}$ and $N(\sigma)$ the number of transpositions needed to obtain $\sigma(\overline{x})$ from $\overline{x}$;

(c) there exists a number $P(c) < \infty$, called the propagation of c, such that $c_{\overline{x}} = 0$ if $\max_{0\leq i,j\leq n} d(x_i,x_j) \geq P(c)$.

It follows immediately that the space $C_n^\rho(X)$ is independent of the choice of basepoint. The 0-chain given by

$$[X] = \sum_{x \in X} [x],$$

is called the fundamental class. The controlled coarse chain groups form a chain complex together with the boundary operators defined as follows [9]

Definition 9. *Define* $\partial_n \colon C_n^\rho(X) \to C_{n-1}^\rho(X)$ *simplex-wise as*

$$\partial_n([x_0, \ldots, x_n]) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i [x_0, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, x_n],$$

where $\hat{x}_i$ *means the omission of the* x_i *term, extended linearly to* $C_n^\rho(X)$*. The differential of a 0-chain is set to zero.*

The controlled coarse homology $H_*^\rho(X)$ is the homology of the complex $(C_\bullet^\rho(X), \partial_\bullet)$, and the corresponding controlled coarse homology groups are denoted by

$$H_n^\rho(X) = \ker \partial_n \setminus \operatorname{im} \partial_{n-1}.$$

In [9] it was shown that the controlled coarse homology is a quasi-isometry invariant. In particular, if $N \subseteq X$ is a quasi lattice, then $H_n^\rho(N) \cong H_n^\rho(X)$. Thus, as in [1], we define the controlled coarse homology of a pointed coarse bounded geometry space X as the controlled coarse homology of its pointed quasi-lattice. In particular, Nowak and Špakula proved the following isoperimetric obstruction, which is a key ingredient in the proof of our main theorem

Theorem. *[9] Let* (X, d) *be a bounded geometry quasi-geodesic metric space. The following are equivalent*

1. *for all finite sets* $A \subseteq X$

$$\#A \leq C \sum_{x \in \partial A} \rho(|x|),$$

for some constant $C > 0$*;*

2. *the inequality*

$$\sum_{x \in X} |\eta(x)| \leq D \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in \overline{B}(x,1)} |v(x) - v(y)| \rho(|(x, y)|)$$

holds for every finitely supported function $\eta \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ *and a constant* $D > 0$*;*

3. $[X] = 0$ *in* $H_0^\rho(X)$*.*

In our notation, ∂A is the 1-boundary $\partial_1 A$, $|x| = |x|_d$, and by quasi-geodesic we mean that for every $x, y \in X$ there exists a finite sequence of points $(x = x_0, \ldots, x_n = y)$ in X such that $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq 1$ and $n \leq d(x, y)$. It is worth noting that the quasi-geodesic space in our calculations will be the Rips graph with graph metric.

3 Large scale Sobolev inequalities

Amenability and isoperimetric inequalities of the type in [9] are large scale properties in the sense that they are quasi-isometry invariants. Thus, they appear on the quasi-lattices of the ambien space, and as such are discrete versions of global inequalities appearing on the whole space. In this section we look at their connection to each other, covering the technical part of the proof of the main theorem stated in the introduction.

Proposition 10. *Let (X, d, μ) be a quasi-convex pointed locally doubling metric measure space satisfying a $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality. Then, for small enough $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for any measurable control function $\rho\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$*

$$\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q\sim p} |u_{4B(p,\varepsilon)} - u_{4B(q,\varepsilon)}|\rho(|p|)\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)) \leq C_1 \int_X |\nabla u(x)|\rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x)$$

holds for every $u \in C_b(X;\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small enough and fix a pointed quasi-lattice $N(X,\varepsilon)$ with basepoint o. We first show that the inequality holds locally, from which it will follow for X by the doubling property. Fix $p \in N(X,\varepsilon)$, now

$$\rho(|p|_d) \int_{B(p,7\Lambda_P\varepsilon)} |\nabla u(x)|d\mu(x) \leq \int_{B(p,7\Lambda_P\varepsilon)} |\nabla u(x)|\rho(|x|_d + d(x,p))d\mu(x)$$
$$\leq \int_{B(p,7\Lambda_P\varepsilon)} |\nabla u(x)|\rho(|x|_d + 7\Lambda_P\varepsilon)d\mu(x) \leq L_{7\Lambda_P\varepsilon} \int_{B(p,7\Lambda_P\varepsilon)} |\nabla u(x)|\rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x),$$

since by the triangle inequality $|p|_d = d(o,p) \leq d(o,x) + d(x,p)$, ρ is increasing, and $x \in B(p, 7\Lambda_P\varepsilon)$. Next, take $q \in N(X,\varepsilon)$ such that $d(p,q) \leq 3\varepsilon$, which exists by quasi-convexity, and note that

$$\int_{B(p,7\Lambda_P\varepsilon)} |\nabla u(x)|d\mu(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{B(p,4\Lambda_P\varepsilon)} |\nabla u(x)|d\mu(x) + \int_{B(q,4\Lambda_P\varepsilon)} |\nabla u(x)|d\mu(x)\right)$$

accounting for the overlap of the metric balls by the factor one half. Further reducing ε if necessary so that $4\varepsilon \leq R_P$, it then follows by the $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality that

$$⨍_{B(p,4\Lambda_P\varepsilon)} |\nabla u(x)|d\mu(x) \geq \frac{1}{4\varepsilon C_P} ⨍_{B(p,4\varepsilon)} |u(x) - u_{B(p,4\varepsilon)}|d\mu(x). \tag{1}$$

and since $\mu(B(p,4\Lambda_p\varepsilon)) \geq \mu(B(p,4\varepsilon))$ it follows from (1) that

$$\int_{B(p,4\Lambda_P\varepsilon)} |\nabla u(x)|d\mu(x) \geq \frac{1}{4\varepsilon C_P} \int_{B(p,4\varepsilon)} |u(x) - u_{B(p,4\varepsilon)}|d\mu(x).$$

Thus, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho(|p|_d)\int_{B(p,7\Lambda_P\varepsilon)}|\nabla u(x)|d\mu(x)\\
&\geq \frac{\rho(|p|_p)}{2}\left(\int_{B(p,4\Lambda_P\varepsilon)}|\nabla u(x)|d\mu(x)+\int_{B(q,4\Lambda_P\varepsilon)}|\nabla u(x)|d\mu(x)\right)\\
&\geq \frac{\rho(|p|_d)}{8\varepsilon C_P}\left(\int_{B(p,4\varepsilon)}|u(x)-u_{B(p,4\varepsilon)}|d\mu(x)+\int_{B(q,4\varepsilon)}|u(x)-u_{B(q,4\varepsilon)}|d\mu(x)\right)\\
&\geq \frac{\rho(|p|_d)}{8\varepsilon C_P}\int_{B(p,4\varepsilon)\cap B(q,4\varepsilon)}|u_{4B(p,\varepsilon)}-u_{4B(q,\varepsilon)}|d\mu(x)\\
&\geq \rho(|p|_d)\frac{|u_{4B(p,\varepsilon)}-u_{4B(q,\varepsilon)}|}{8\varepsilon C_P}\int_{B(p,\varepsilon)}d\mu(x)=\rho(|p|_d)\frac{|u_{4B(p,\varepsilon)}-u_{4B(q,\varepsilon)}|}{8\varepsilon C_P}\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)),
\end{aligned}$$

where the the third inequality follows by the triangle inequality, and the fourth by the fact that $B(p,\varepsilon)\subseteq B(p,4\varepsilon)\cap B(q,4\varepsilon)$. As this holds for all $q\in 3\overline{B}(p,\varepsilon)\cap N(X,\varepsilon)$, the left hand side is independent of q, and $\#3\overline{B}(q,\varepsilon)\cap N(X,\varepsilon)\leq N_{3\varepsilon}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{3\varepsilon}&\int_{B(p,7\Lambda_P\varepsilon)}|\nabla u(x)|\rho(|x|_p)d\mu(x)\\
&\qquad\geq \frac{1}{8\varepsilon C_P L_{7\Lambda_P\varepsilon}}\sum_{q\sim p}|u_{4B(p,\varepsilon)}-u_{4B(q,\varepsilon)}|\rho(|p|_p)\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)).
\end{aligned}$$

The claim now follows since μ is locally doubling. Namely, requiring that $7\Lambda_P\varepsilon\leq R_\mu$, if necessary, and summing over all the points in the quasi-lattice,

$$\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)}\sum_{q\sim p}|u_{4B(p,\varepsilon)}-u_{4B(q,\varepsilon)}|\rho(|p|_d)\mu(B(p,\varepsilon))\leq C_1\int_X|\nabla u(x)|\rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x),$$

as every point in $N(X,\varepsilon)$ belongs to at most $N_{7\Lambda_P\varepsilon}$ balls of radius $7\Lambda_P\varepsilon$. For a different choice of basepoint the constant C_1 simply gets re-scaled. □

Corollary 11. *Let (X,d,μ) be a quasi-convex pointed locally doubling metric measure space satisfying a $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality. Then, for small enough $\varepsilon>0$, if for every $v\colon N(X,\varepsilon)\to\mathbb{R}$ with finite support*

$$\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)}|v(p)|\mu(B(p,\varepsilon))\leq C_2\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)}\sum_{q\sim p}|v(p)-v(q)|\rho(|(p,q)|_d)\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)),$$

and $\rho\colon[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ any measurable control function, then

$$\int_X|u(x)|d\mu(x)\leq D_2\int_X|\nabla u(x)|\rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x),$$

holds for every $u\in C_b(X;\mathbb{R})$ with bounded support.

Proof. Let $u\in C_b(X;\mathbb{R})$ be with bounded support. Without loss of generality, replacing u by $|u|$ we may assume $u\geq 0$. By Proposition 10

$$\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)}\sum_{q\sim p}|u_{4B(p,\varepsilon)}-u_{4B(q,\varepsilon)}|\rho(|p|_d)\mu(B(p,\varepsilon))\leq C_1\int_X|\nabla u(x)|\rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x).$$

On the other hand, since u has bounded support it follows by assumption that

$$u_{4B(\cdot,\varepsilon)}\colon N(X,\varepsilon)\to[0,\infty),$$

is finitely supported, and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} u_{4B(p,\varepsilon)}\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)) &\leq C_2\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)}\sum_{q\sim p}|u_{4B(p,\varepsilon)}-u_{4B(q,\varepsilon)}|\rho(|(p,q)|)\mu(B(x,\varepsilon))\\ &\leq L_{3\varepsilon}C_2\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)}\sum_{q\sim p}|u_{4B(p,\varepsilon)}-u_{4B(q,\varepsilon)}|\rho(|p|_d)\mu(B(p,\varepsilon))\\ &\leq C_1L_{3\varepsilon}C_2\int_X|\nabla u(x)|\rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since μ is locally doubling

$$\frac{1}{C_\mu^2}\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)}\int_{B(p,\varepsilon)}u(x)d\mu(x)\leq\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)}u_{4B(p,\varepsilon)}\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)),$$

and since every point $x\in X$ belong to at most N_ε balls $B(p,\varepsilon)$ centred in the quasi-lattice

$$\frac{1}{C_\mu^2N_\varepsilon}\int_X u(x)d\mu(x)\leq\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)}u_{4B(p,\varepsilon)}\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)).$$

All in all,

$$\int_X u(x)d\mu(x)\leq C_\mu^2N_\varepsilon C_1L_{3\varepsilon}C_2\int_X|\nabla u(x)|\rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x),$$

which proves the claim. □

Proposition 12. *Let (X,d,μ) be a locally doubling quasi-convex pointed metric measure space and $\rho\colon[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ a measurable control function. Then, for small enough $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a constant $C_3>0$ such that*

$$\int_X\operatorname{Lip}\bar{v}(x)\rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x)\leq C_3\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)}\sum_{q\sim p}|v(p)-v(q)|\rho(|p|_d)\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)),$$

holds for any $v\colon N(X,\varepsilon)\to\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Using the Lipschitz partition of unity we first estimate $\operatorname{Lip}\bar{v}$. Integrating with respect to this estimate then proves the claim. Fix $p\in N(X,\varepsilon)$ and let

$x, y \in B(p, \varepsilon)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar{v}(x) - \bar{v}(y)| &= \left| \sum_{q \in N(X,\varepsilon)} v(q)\varphi_q(x) - \sum_{q \in N(X,\varepsilon)} v(q)\varphi_q(y) + v(p) - v(p) \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{q \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \varphi_q(x)(v(q) - v(p)) - \sum_{q \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \varphi_q(y)(v(q) - v(p)) \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{q \in 2B(x,\varepsilon) \cap N(X,\varepsilon)} \varphi_q(x)(v(q) - v(p)) - \sum_{q \in 2B(y,\varepsilon) \cap N(X,\varepsilon)} \varphi_q(y)(v(q) - v(p)) \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{q \in 3B(p,\varepsilon) \cap N(X,\varepsilon)} (\varphi_q(x) - \varphi_q(y))(v(q) - v(p)) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{q \in 3B(p,\varepsilon) \cap N(X,\varepsilon)} |\varphi_q(x) - \varphi_q(y)||v(q) - v(p)| \\
&\leq \frac{5{N_d}^k}{\varepsilon} d(x, y) \sum_{q \in 3B(p,\varepsilon) \cap N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(q) - v(p)|,
\end{aligned}$$

using the fact that φ_q is $5{N_d}^k/\varepsilon$-Lipschitz in the last inequality. Thus,

$$\frac{|\bar{v}(x) - \bar{v}(y)|}{d(x, y)} \leq \frac{5{N_d}^k}{\varepsilon} \sum_{q \in 3B(p,\varepsilon) \cap N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p) - v(q)|,$$

in particular

$$\operatorname{Lip} \bar{v}(x) = \limsup_{r \to 0} \left(\sup_{y \in B(x,r)} \frac{|\bar{v}(x) - \bar{v}(y)|}{d(x, y)} \right) \leq \frac{5{N_d}^k}{\varepsilon} \sum_{q \in 3B(p,\varepsilon) \cap N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p) - v(q)|,$$

for all $x \in B(p,\varepsilon)$. Using this estimate the claim now follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_X \operatorname{Lip}\bar{v}(x)\rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x) \leq \sum_{p\in N(X;\varepsilon)} \int_{B_X(p,\varepsilon)} \operatorname{Lip}\bar{v}(x)\rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x)\\
&\leq \sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} \int_{B_X(p,\varepsilon)} \frac{5N_d^k}{\varepsilon} \sum_{q\in 3B(p,\varepsilon)\cap N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p)-v(q)|\rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x)\\
&= \frac{5N_d^k}{\varepsilon} \sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q\in 3B(p,\varepsilon)\cap N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p)-v(q)| \int_{B_X(p,\varepsilon)} \rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x)\\
&\leq \frac{5N_d^k}{\varepsilon} \sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q\in 3B(p,\varepsilon)\cap N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p)-v(q)| \int_{B_X(p,\varepsilon)} \rho(|p|_d+\varepsilon)d\mu(x)\\
&\leq \frac{5N_d^k L_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q\in 3B(p,\varepsilon)\cap N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p)-v(q)|\rho(|p|_d)\mu(B(p,\varepsilon))\\
&\leq \frac{5N_d^k L_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q\in 3\overline{B}(p,\varepsilon)\cap N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p)-v(q)|\rho(|p|_d)\mu(B(p,\varepsilon))\\
&= \frac{5N_d^k L_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q\sim p} |v(p)-v(q)|\rho(|p|_d)\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 13. *Let (X,d,μ) be a locally doubling quasi-convex pointed metric measure space and $\rho\colon [0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ a measurable control function. Then, for small enough $\varepsilon>0$, if for every $u\in C_b(X;\mathbb{R})$ with bounded support*

$$\int_X |u(x)|d\mu(x) \leq C_4 \int_X |\nabla u(x)|\rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x),$$

then for every $v\colon N(X,\varepsilon)\to\mathbb{R}$ with finite support

$$\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p)|\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)) \leq D_4 \sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q\sim p} |v(p)-v(q)|\rho(|(p,q)|_d)\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)).$$

Proof. Let $v\colon N(X,\varepsilon)\to\mathbb{R}$ be finitely supported. If the claim holds for $|v|$, then it follows by the triangle inequality that it holds for v. Hence, assume $v\geq 0$. By assumption it follows for the local Lipschitz extension $\bar{v}$ of v associated to $N(X,\varepsilon)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X \bar{v}(x)d\mu(x) &\leq C_4 \int_X |\nabla\bar{v}(x)|\rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x)\\
&\leq C_4 \int_X \operatorname{Lip}\bar{v}(x)\rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x) \qquad (2)\\
&\leq C_4C_3 \sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q\sim p} |v(p)-v(q)|\rho(|p|_d)\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)) \qquad (3)\\
&\leq C_4C_3 \sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q\sim p} |v(p)-v(q)|\rho(|(p,q)|_d)\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)),
\end{aligned}$$

where (2) follows since $\mathrm{Lip}\bar{v}$ is an upper gradient for $\bar{v}$, and (3) follows by Proposition 12. The claim follows observing that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \bar{v}(x)d\mu(x) &= \int_X \sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} v(p)\varphi_p(x)d\mu(x)\\ &\geq N_d^{-k}\int_X \sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} v(p)\psi_p(x)d\mu(x) \qquad (4)\\ &= N_d^{-k}\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} v(p)\int_X \psi_p(x)d\mu(x)\\ &\geq N_d^{-k}\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} v(p)\int_{B(p,\varepsilon)} \psi_p(x)d\mu(x)\\ &= N_d^{-k}\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} v(p)\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)),\end{aligned}$$

c.f. the proof of Lemma 2 for (4). □

4 Obstruction to large scale Sobolev Inequalities

In this section we prove the characterisation theorem stated in the introduction. More precisely we have the following

Theorem 14. *Let (X,d,μ) be a quasi-convex pointed locally Ahlfors regular metric measure space satisfying a $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality and $\rho\colon [0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ a measurable control function. Then, for small enough $\varepsilon>0$, the following are equivalent:*

(1) for every $u\in C_b(X,\mathbb{R})$ with bounded support, there exists a constant $C_1'>0$ such that

$$\int_X |u(x)|d\mu(x) \leq C_1'\int_X |\nabla u(x)|\rho(|x|_d)d\mu(x);$$

(2) for every $v\colon N(X,\varepsilon)\to\mathbb{R}$ with finite support, there exists a constant $C_2'>0$ such that

$$\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p)|\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)) \leq C_2'\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)}\sum_{q\sim p}|v(p)-v(q)|\rho(|(p,q)|_d)\mu(B(p,\varepsilon));$$

(3) for every $v\colon N(X,\varepsilon)\to\mathbb{R}$ with finite support, there exists a constant $C_3'>0$ such that

$$\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p)| \leq C_3'\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)}\sum_{q\sim p}|v(p)-v(q)|\rho(|(p,q)|_d);$$

(4) for every $v\colon N(X,\varepsilon)\to\mathbb{R}$ with finite support, there exists a constant $C_4'>0$ such that

$$\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p)| \leq C_4'\sum_{p\in N(X,\varepsilon)}\sum_{q\sim p}|v(p)-v(q)|\rho(|(p,q)|_{d_{\mathcal{R}}});$$

(5) for every finite set $F \subseteq N(X,\varepsilon)$, there exists a constant $C_5' > 0$ such that

$$\#F \leq C_5' \sum_{p \in \partial_1 F} \rho(|p|_{d_{\mathcal{R}}});$$

(6) $[X] = 0$ in $H_0^\rho(X)$.

Proof. First observe that the Rips graph $\mathcal{R}_{3\varepsilon}(N(X,\varepsilon))$ is quasi-isometric to X, Lemma 6, and $\mathcal{R}_{3\varepsilon}(N(X,\varepsilon))$ is uniformly locally finite and quasi-geodesic. Thus [9] Lemma 4.1. and Theorem 4.2. apply to $\mathcal{R}_{3\varepsilon}(N(X,\varepsilon))$, and it follows that (4) and (5) are equivalent to $[\mathcal{R}_{3\varepsilon}(N(X,\varepsilon)] = 0$ in $H_0^\rho(\mathcal{R}_{3\varepsilon}(N(X,\varepsilon))$, which by quasi-isometry is equivalent to (6). The equivalence of (3), where the control function is evaluated with respect to d, and (4), where it is evaluated on the graph strucutre, follows from first observing that $|(p,q)|_d \leq 3\varepsilon |(p,q)|_{d_{\mathcal{R}}}$ and $|(p,q)|_{d_{\mathcal{R}}} \leq C_q/\varepsilon |(p,q)|_d + 1$, respectively, by Lemma 6, and then using both scaling properties of the control function. That (2) and (3) are equivalent follows by local Ahlfors regularity. First assume (2) holds. By local Ahlfors regularity

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varepsilon^{Q_A}}{C_A} \sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p)| &\leq \sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p)| \mu(B(p,\varepsilon)) \\
&\leq C_2' \sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q \sim p} |v(p) - v(q)| \rho(|(p,q)|_d) \mu(B(p,\varepsilon)) \\
&\leq C_2' C_A \varepsilon^{Q_A} \sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q \sim p} |v(p) - v(q)| \rho(|(p,q)|_d),
\end{aligned}$$

and (3) follows. Suppose (3) holds. Since balls have strictly positive measure

$$\sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p)| \frac{\mu(B(p,\varepsilon))}{\mu(B(p,\varepsilon))} \leq C_3' \sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q \sim p} |v(p) - v(q)| \rho(|(p,q)|_d) \frac{\mu(B(p,\varepsilon))}{\mu(B(p,\varepsilon))},$$

so by local Ahlfors regularity

$$\sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p)| \frac{\mu(B(p,\varepsilon))}{C_A \varepsilon^{Q_A}} \leq C_3' \sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q \sim p} |v(p) - v(q)| \rho(|(p,q)|_d) \frac{\mu(B(p,\varepsilon)) C_A}{\varepsilon^{Q_A}},$$

and (2) follows. Last, observe that (1) and (2) are equivalent by Corollary 13 and 11, respectively. In particular, the implication from (1) to (2) does not require the $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality. □

Parts (1) and (6) above give the characterisation stated in the introduction observing that the direction (1) $\implies$ (2) $\implies$ (3) $\implies$ (4) $\implies$ (5) $\implies$ (6) is independent of X admitting a $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality. As an immediate corollary, the theorem also gives a characterisation for amenability

Corollary 15. *A locally Ahlfors regular quasi-convex metric measure space (X,d,μ) satisfying a $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality is amenable if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $u \in C_b(X;\mathbb{R})$ with bounded support such that*

$$\frac{\int_X |\nabla u(x)| d\mu(x)}{\int_X |u(x)| d\mu(x)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Suppose the inequality holds, then by Theorem 14, $[X] \neq 0$ in $H_0^1(X)$. Since $H_0^1(X) \cong H_0^{\mathrm{uf}}(X)$ [9], and X is amenable if and only if $[X] = 0$ in $H_0^{\mathrm{uf}}(X)$ [1], the claim follows. Similarly, if X is amenable, then $[X] \neq 0$ in $H_0^{\mathrm{uf}}(X) \cong H_0^{\rho}(X)$ whenever ρ is constant, and the inequality follows by Theorem 14. □

We also note that the characterisation theorem gives a quasi-isometry invariance through homology for the Sobolev inequalities justifying calling them large scale

Corollary 16. *Let (X, d_X, μ_X) and (Y, d_Y, μ_Y) be locally Ahlfors regular quasi-convex pointed metric measure spaces satisfying $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality and $\rho\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ a measurable control function. If X and Y are quasi-isometric, then X satisfies the large scale Sobolev inequality*

$$\int_X |u(x)| d\mu(x) \leq C \int_X |\nabla u(x)| \rho(|x|_{d_X}) d\mu(x)$$

for every $u \in C_b(X;\mathbb{R})$ with finite support if and only if Y satisfies the corresponding large scale Sobolev inequality

$$\int_Y |u(x)| d\mu(x) \leq C' \int_Y |\nabla u(x)| \rho(|x|_{d_Y}) d\mu(x)$$

for every $u \in C_b(Y;\mathbb{R})$ with bounded support.

Proof. Suppse X satisfies the large scale Sobolev inequality. Then, by Theorem 14 $[X] = 0$ in $H_0^{\rho}(X)$. Since this is a quasi-isometry invariant and X is quasi-isometric to Y, it follows that $[Y] = 0$ in $H_0^{\rho}(Y)$. The claim now follows applying Theorem 14 once more. □

Let $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ be connected and locally finite graph. A weighted graph $(\mathcal{G}, \omega)$ is a graph $\mathcal{G}$ equipped with a strictly positive function $\omega\colon E \to (0,\infty)$, called edge weight. For any vertex $x \in V$ define the vertex weight $\nu\colon V \to (0,\infty)$ point-wise as

$$\nu(x) = \sum_{y \sim x} \omega([x,y]).$$

Given a weighted graph (G, ω), define the weighted graph Laplacian Δ_ω on all functions $f\colon V \to \mathbb{R}$ point-wise by

$$\Delta_\omega f(x) = \frac{1}{\nu(x)} \left(\sum_{y \sim x} f(y) \omega([x,y]) \right) - f(x).$$

In particular, note that the Rips graph $\mathcal{R}_{3\varepsilon}(N(X,\varepsilon))$ of a separable quasi-convex locally doubling metric space X together with a controlling function ρ defines weighted graph $(\mathcal{R}_{3\varepsilon}(N(X,\varepsilon)), \rho(|(\cdot,\cdot)|_d))$, for short $(\mathcal{R}_{3\varepsilon}(N(X,\varepsilon)), \rho)$, as follows. It is a connected locally finite graph with vertex set $N(X,\varepsilon)$ with edges $[x,y]$ specified by the condition $0 < d(x,y) \leq 3\varepsilon$ and edge weight $[x,y] \mapsto \omega([x,y]) = \rho(|(x,y)|_d)$ by the fact that ρ is strictly positive as a controlling function and $|(\cdot,\cdot)|_d$ symmetric. In particular, we have the following obstruction to large scale Sobolev inequalities

Corollary 17. *Let (X, d, μ) be a locally Ahlfors regular quasi-convex pointed metric measure space satisfying a $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality. If there exists a function $f\colon N(X,\varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\sup_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q \sim p} |f(p) - f(q)| < \infty, \text{ and}$$
$$\Delta_\rho f > C > 0,$$

for $\rho\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ a measurable control function, then $[X] = 0$ in $H_0^\rho(X)$.

Proof. Let $v\colon N(X,\varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}$ be any finitely supported function. By the weighted discrete Green's formula, see e.g. [4]

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q \sim p} (f(p) - f(q))(|v(p)| - |v(q)|)\rho(|(p,q)|_d) \\ &= \sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \Delta_\rho f(p)|v(p)|\nu(p) > C \sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p)|, \end{aligned}$$

since $\Delta_\rho f > C$ and $\nu(p) \geq 1$ recalling that $\rho(0) = 1$ and ρ is non-decreasing as a control function. On the other hand, by the boundedness of the pointwise Dirichlet energy of f,

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q \sim p} (f(p) - f(q))(|v(p)| - |v(q)|)\rho(|(p,q)|_d) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q \sim p} |f(p) - f(q)||\,|v(p)| - |v(q)|\,|\rho(|(p,q)|_d) \\ &\leq D \sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q \sim p} ||v(p)| - |v(q)||\rho(|p,q|_d). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the inequalities, it follows by the triangle inequality that

$$\sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} |v(p)| \leq C^{-1} D \sum_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q \sim p} |v(p) - v(q)|\rho(|(p,q)|_d).$$

Thus, $[X] = 0$ in $H_0^\rho(X)$ by Theorem 14. □

Corollary 18. *Let (X, d, μ) be a locally Ahlfors regular quasi-convex pointed metric measure space satisfying a $(1,1)$-Poincaré inequality. If there exists a function $f\colon N(X,\varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\sup_{p \in N(X,\varepsilon)} \sum_{q \sim p} |f(p) - f(q)| < \infty,$$
$$\Delta_\rho f > C > 0,$$

for $\rho\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ a measurable control function, then

$$\int_X |u(x)| d\mu(x) \leq C \int_X |\nabla u(x)|\rho(|x|_d) d\mu(x),$$

for every $u \in C_b(X;\mathbb{R})$ with bounded support.

Proof. Follows directly by Corollary 17 and Theorem 14. □

Acknowledgements I would like to thank Ilkka Holopainen for introducing me to the topic, and for his invaluable advice and support. I would also like to thank Piotr W. Nowak for helpful comments and suggestions. Last, I would like to thank the Academy of Finland, project 252293, for financial support.

References

[1] O. Attie, J. Block, S. Weinberger, Aperiodic tilings, positive scalar curvature and amenability of spaces, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 5, 1992, no. 4, 907-918

[2] J. Block, S. Weinberger, Large scale homology theories and geometry, Geometric topology (Athens, GA, 1993), AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 2.1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 522-569, RI 1997

[3] M. R. Bridson, A. Haefliger, Metric Spaces of Non-positive Curvature, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Volume 319, Springer Verlang, New York, 1999

[4] F. R. K. Chung, A. Grigor'yan, S.-T. Yau, Higher Eigenvalues and isoperimetric inequalities on Riemannian manifolds and graphs, Comm. Anal. Geom, 8, 2000, no. 5, 969-1026

[5] J. Heinonen, Lectures on Analysis on Metric Spaces,Springer Verlag, 2001

[6] J. Heinonen, Geometric embeddings of metric spaces, Lectures in the Finnish Graduate School of Mathematics, University of Jyväskylä, January 2003

[7] J. Heinonen, P. Koskela, Quasiconformal maps in metric spaces with controlled geometry, Acta Math., 181, 1998, 1-61

[8] P. Li, J. Wang, Weighted Poincaré inequalities and rigidity of complete meanifolds, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4), 39, no. 6, 921-982, 2006

[9] P. W. Nowak, J. Špakula, Controlled coarse homology and isoperimetric inequalities, J. Topol. 3, 2010, no. 2, 443-462

[10] J. Roe, Coarse cohomology and index theory on complete Riemannian manifolds, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc, 104, no. 497, 1993

[11] J. Roe, *Lectures on Coarse Geometry*, University Lecture Series, Volume 31, 2000

[12] A. Żuk, On an isoperimetric inequality for infinite finitely generated groups, Topology 39, 2000, no. 5, 947-956.